MINUTES OF THE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE HELD ON TUESDAY 22nd APRIL 2025

621. PRESENT – Councillors P. Jackson (Chair), C. Clark and Ms M. Wells

622. APOLOGIES – Councillor S. Ellis (Vice Chair), P. Routledge, Miss Z. Routledge, A. Thomas and B. Charlesworth

623. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST
None

624. SECTION 33 DISPENSATION REQUEST
None

625. CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES OF MONDAY 17th MARCH 2025
Approved and confirmed as a correct record of the meeting.

626. REVIEW OF PLANNING APPLICATIONS RECEIVED FROM SMDC:-

SMD/2025/0077 – Land adjacent to 10 Thorpe Rise, Cheadle
Outline permission with some matters reserved, appearance and landscaping for the demolition and removal of existing 8 garages and erection of a pair of semi detached houses.
Cheadle Town Council have no objections to this development and would support the Application in principle, however it would like to note that the plan shows an upper window which may impact on neighbouring property, and this should be considered prior to approval.

SMD/2025/0038 – 7, Draycott Drive, Cheadle
Installation of an air source heat pump to be installed front of property.
Cheadle Town Council have no objections to this development and would support the Application in principle.

SMD/2025/0147 – 94, Attlee Road, Cheadle
Two storey side extension and single storey rear extension
Cheadle Town Council note that representation has been made by local neighbours in terms of this proposal, which are supported by the Town Council, and the Committee felt that the size and scale of this proposed development would have an adverse visual impact on neighbouring properties and local services.

SMD/2025/0132 – Greensleeves, 7, Highfield Avenue, Cheadle
Front boundary brick wall and gates
Cheadle Town Council noted that this Application appears to have been withdrawn.

SMD/2022/0610 – Woodhead Hall, Cherry Lane, Cheadle
6 one bed holiday lodges and fishing clubhouse/housekeeping storage building and associated works

Cheadle Town Council agree with the comments of local residents and would therefore object to this development, in line with the matters put forward. Committee Members feel that the comments submitted within the Conservation Officer report, in terms of the size of the proposed lodges, are also valid, and would support the concerns raised in this report.

There being no further business the meeting was brought to a close.

Signed……